

# HVG Facades Pty Ltd MondoClad

MondoClad are solid aluminium panels which are used to provide thermal insulation and weather resistance, and to improve the apperance of buildings. It can be used in construction and recladding projects, and can be fabricated into panels when folding, routing and cutting using various techniques.

Products/Ranges: MondoClad in various colours

Product Stages Assessed: Manufacturing + In-Use

Product Type: Exterior aluminium Cladding panels

CSI Masterformat: 074113

Licenced Site/s: Changzhou City, China
Licence Number: HVG:MO01:2024:PH
Licence Date: 8th March 2024
Valid To: 8th March 2026
Standard: GGT International v4.0
Screening Date: 2nd February 2024

PHD URL: https://www.globalgreentag.com/certificate/2543/





**PHD Summary** 

Percentage Assessed:

100%

Inventory Threshold: 100ppm Product Level

Inventory Method:
Nested Materials

GreenTag Banned List Compliant.

GreenTag PHD recognized by WELL \* & LEED \* Material Transparency & Optimization credits included below:


Meets IWBI \* WELL \* v1.0 as Recognized for Feature 26 (Part 1); Feature 97 (Part 1); and, meets IWBI \* WELL \* v2.0 as Recognized for X07 (Parts 1); X08 (Part 2); as a Compliant Technical Document (Audited) for X07 (Part 2); X08 (Part 1).

Meets USGBC LEED\* v4.0 and v4.1 Rating Tool Credit as Recognized for MR Credit: Building Product Disclosure and Optimisation - Material Ingredients - Option 1: Material Ingredient Reporting, Option 2: International ACP - REACH Optimisation.

Independent third party assessment for worker, user, and environmental exposure to any Carcinogens, Mutagens, Reproductive Toxicant or Endocrine Disruptors.

INGREDIENT HAZARD DISCLOSURE, RISK ASSESSMENT, & IN USE HEALTH, % by mass.

ASSESSMENT: See over for explanation.



Declared by: Global GreenTag International Pty Ltd



David Baggs CEO Verified compliant with: ISO 14024 & ISO 17065

## 1.0 Scope

The Global GreenTag International (GGT) Product Health Declaration (PHD) has been designed to provide an additional level of service to the green product sector in facilitating an easier understanding of both the hazard and risks associated with any certified products, and is intended to indicate:

- Chemical hazards of both finished product and unique ingredients to a minimum level of 100ppm for final product throughout the product life cycle (including any VOC or other gaseous emissions):
- An assessment of exposure or risk associated with ingredient handling, product use, and disposal in relation to established mitigation and management processes:

It is not intended to assess:

- i. substances used or created during the manufacturing process unless they remain in the final product; or
- ii. substances created after the product is delivered for end use (e.g., if the product unusually degrades, combusts or otherwise changes chemical composition).

GGT PHDs are only issued to products that have passed GGT Standards' certification requirements. The Level of Assessment (BronzeHEALTH, SilverHEALTH, GoldHEALTH or PlatinumHEALTH) of a PHD rating relates ONLY to a Human Health Toxicity Assessment and is declared separately and not equivalent to the overall Bronze, Silver Gold or Platinum Green Tag Certification Mark Tier Levels of LCARate.

### 1.2 Preparing a PHD

GGT PHDs are prepared in the format of a transparency document which utilizes Hazard Classifications from the UN Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS). Hazard Classifications are then risk assessed with a focus on the In Use stage for an outcome of Certification. Assessments are undertaken by GGT Qualified Exemplar Global Lead Auditors and subsequently accepted for Certification by the GGT Program Director (also a Qualified Exemplar Global Lead Auditor) under the International Standard v4.0/4.1, Personal Products Standard v1.0/1.1, or Cleaning Products Standard v1.1/1.2 and above Program Rules.

#### 1.3 External Peer Review

Every GGT PHD is independently peer-reviewed by an external Consultant Toxicologist and Member of the Australasian College of Toxicology & Risk Assessment.

## 2.0 Declaration of Ingredients

Where a manufacturer wishes recognition under a rating program that requires transparency of ingredients, such as LEED \* v4.0 & v4.1, WELL \* v1.0 & v2.0, Green Star \*, the following information is declared from the audit:

Colour	Ingredient Hazard Disclosure
Green	Level 4 The hazard level of this ingredient indicates that the ingredient has no toxic hazard statements with no identified health effects.
Yellow	Level 3 The hazard level of this ingredient indicates that the ingredient is mildly toxic and/or has short/medium term reversible health effects.
Orange	Level 2 The hazard level of this ingredient indicates that the ingredient is moderately toxic and/or with a moderate health effects.
Red	Level 1 The hazard level of this ingredient indicates that the ingredient is highly toxic with a potential for severe health effects.
Black	Level 0 The hazard level of this ingredient indicates that the ingredient is highly toxic with a potential for severe health effects and is banned from being detectable above trace amounts in the final product.
Grey	Grey Chemical  Not able to be categorised due to lack of toxicity impact information.
Colour	Risk Assessment & In Use Health Assessment Outcome
Green	No Concerns The risk assessment outcomes for the hazard level and percentage of ingredient used in the product after risk assessment is considered highly unlikely and therefore without concerns.
Yellow	Human Health Comment The risk assessment outcome for the hazard level and percentage of ingredient used in the product is after risk assessment considered low with an unlikely potential risk.
Orange	Issue of Concern or Issue of Concern Minimised The risk assessment outcome for the hazard level and percentage of ingredient used in the product is after risk assessment considered low to high with a higher than unlikely potential for risk.
Red	Red Light Comment or Red Light Comment Minimised The risk assessment outcome for the hazard level and percentage of ingredient used in the product is after risk assessment considered low to extremely high with a moderate potential for risk.
Dark Red	Red Light Exclusion The risk assessment outcome for the hazard level and percentage of ingredient used in the product is after risk assessment considered medium to extremely high with a likely potential for risk.
Grey	Grey Chemical Not able to be categorised due to lack of toxicity impact information.
Black	Banned Ingredients Level 0 Hazard Level categorised chemicals such as Substances of Very High Concern in the International Standard v4.0/v4.1 and/or Petroleum, Parabens plus a wide range of additional compounds stipulated by the Personal Products Standard v1.0/1.1 and Cleaning Products Standard v1.1/1.2

Global GreenTag International Pty Ltd (Global GreenTag) is not a medical professional organisation. Global GreenTag does not purport to provide medical advice, and makes no warranty, representation, or guarantee regarding the declaration that it provides in relation to any allergies, chemical sensitivities or any other medical condition, nor does Global GreenTag assume any liability whatsoever arising out of the application or use of any product or piece of equipment that has been chemically assessed by Global GreenTag.

The chemical assessments carried out provide transparent information peer reviewed by a consultant toxicologist regarding the chemical make-up and ingredients of certain materials and products, but such assessments are not to be taken as any form of medical assessment or health advice and are not targeted towards providing specific solutions to allergenic conditions or any other type of medical concerns.

Users must carry out their own investigations if they are concerned about specific medical conditions and the impact of certain products or ingredients in relation to specific medical concerns.

Global GreenTag takes no responsibility and is not liable in any way with respect to any medical or health issues arising from a person's use of materials or products that have been chemically assessed by Global GreenTag. Global GreenTag shall not be liable for any direct, indirect, punitive, incidental, special or consequential damages to property or life whatsoever, arising out of or connected with the use or misuse of any materials or products that have been assessed by Global GreenTag.



Ingredient Name	CAS Number OR Function	Proportion in finished product	GHS, IARC & Endocrine Category	REACH Compliance	Ingredient Hazard Disclosure	Risk Assessment	In Use Health Assessment	Comment		
Aluminium-Base										
Aluminium	7429-90-5	95-99%	None	ОК				There is no identifiable risk to end user. The final product is an exterior building panels, which is chemically inert and stable. Recycled Content: Unknown Nano Materials: No		
TopCoat/PDVF										
Ethene, 1,1-difluoro-, homopolymer	24937-79-9	0.1-1%	H319, H315, H335	ОК				The ingredient can cause irritation to skin, eye, respiratory system when in contact for longer period. The manufacturing plant has OHS policy in place, which reduces hazards associated with chemicals and ensures safe chemicals handling.  However, the hazards associated with the PVDF chemicals are inert and will be cured in the final product.  Their is no identifiable risk to end user. Recycled Content: Unknown Nano Materials: No		
Remaining Ingredients	Proprietary	0.1-1%	None	ОК	_	_		There is no identifiable risk to end user. The final product is an exterior building panels, which is chemically inert and stable. The manufacturing plant has OHS policy in place which reduce hazards associated with chemical handling while manufacturing. Also most of the ingredients are very low, below 0.01% in the final product.  Their is no identifiable risk to end user. Recycled Content: Unknown Nano Materials: No		

GHS Classification below:

H315: Causes skin irritation

H319: Causes eye irritation

H335: May cause respiratory irritation

# Other comments:

The MondoClad specimen was tested in accordance with AS 1530.1:1994 (R2016) on the reaction to fire properties by Warringtonfire:accredicted for complaince with ISO/IEC 17025-Testing. Result: None of the specimens reached thermal equilibrium during the test. Hence the tests were ended after 3600 seconds-as described in section 7.4.7 of ISO 1182:2010: Reaction to fire tests for products.

